

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,844	12/07/2005	Nobuyuki Ishikawa	JFE-05-1735	1864
	7590 01/23/2008		EXAMINER	
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE		•	YEE, DEBORAH	
1650 MARKET PHILADELPH	ΓST, SUITE 4900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FHILADELFII	A, 1 A 19103		1793	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Author Occurrence	10/559,844	ISHIKAWA ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Deborah Yee	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	-· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ters, prosecution as to the	merits is					
••	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are pending in the application	1						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>35-48</u> is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Trifferi concideration.						
☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	4						
_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Tabiaatad ta bu tha Evana	:				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> is/ar	•	•	iner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			D 1 121(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* *	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached	d Office Action of John 1	0-102.				
		2.440(.) (1) (5					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori		••	Stane				
application from the International Bureau		Teceived in this Hational	otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received					
		100011004.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/7/05</u> .	6) Other:						

10/559,844 Art Unit: 1793

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 25-34, drawn to hot rolled steel plate and its product, a welded steel pipe.

Group II, claim(s) 35-48, drawn to method of producing steel product by hot rolling, rapid cooling to 450-650C, rapid reheating to 550-750C, and additionally cold working and welding to form pipe.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common technical feature that groups I and II share is the composition of claim 1. This composition does not provide a contribution over the prior art as evident by US Patent 5,755,895. Thus, the 2 groups lack unity of invention. See MPEP 1850.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Dan Christenbury on December 10, 2007 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 25-34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 35-48 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number:

10/559,844 Art Unit: 1793

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,755,895 (Tamehiro et al.).
- 7. Tamehiro et al. in claims 1 to 12 of columns 17-20 discloses a hot rolled steel plate having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap establishes a prima facie case of obviousness since it would be obvious for one skilled in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art because the prior art teaches the same utility (welded pipe for transporting oil or gas) and similar properties of high tensile strength, low yield ratio, and low-temperature toughness. In addition, steel of Tamehiro et al. exhibits microstructure comprising ferrite, bainite and martensite, whereby ferrite fraction is 20 to 90%, which would overlap and suggest Applicants' microstructure comprising ferrite, bainite and 3-20% martensite.
- 8. More specifically, prior art steel example 8 in table 3 of columns 13-14 meets the claimed composition and when calculated, satisfies condition (2) recited by claims 25 and 30 wherein atomic% = 0.37%C-0.01% Ti- 0.14%Mo-0.01%Nb-0.07% V and

10/559,844 Art Unit: 1793

condition (2)= 0.37/(0.01+0.14+0.01+0.07)=1.6 within the range of 1.2 to 3. In addition, steel example 8 has a C/(Mo+Ti)=2.466 with the range of 1.2 to 3 recited by claim 26; and C/(Ti+Nb+V)=4.1 closely approximate 1.2 to 3 recited by claim 27.

- 9. Also similar to present invention, steel 8 contains 0.01% Ti and 0.0008% B and Ti/N=5.88 that meet the compositional limitations recited by claims 31 to 33, and said steel is used to made welded pipe which meets recited claim 34.
- 10. Even though prior art does not teach complex carbides precipitated in the ferrite phase as recited by claim 8, such would be expected since composition and property limitations are closely met and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 11. Claims 25, 26 and 28 to 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 08-209287 (Asahi et al.).
- 12. The English abstract of <u>Asahi et al.</u> discloses a hot rolled steel plate having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap or closely approximates those recited by the claims; such similarities establishes a prima facie case of obviousness since it would be obvious for one skilled in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art because the prior art teaches the same utility (welded pipe for transporting oil or gas) and similar properties of high tensile strength, low yield ratio, and low-temperature toughness. In addition, steel of <u>Asahi et al.</u> exhibits microstructure comprising ferrite, bainite and martensite, whereby ferrite fraction is 20 to 90%, which would overlap and suggest Applicants' microstructure comprising ferrite, bainite and 3-20% martensite.

Application/Control Number:

10/559,844 Art Unit: 1793

- 13. More specifically, prior art steel example 3 in table 2 of columns 7-8 meets the claimed composition and when calculated satisfies condition (2) recited by claims 25 and 30 wherein atomic% = 0.37%C-0.02% Ti- 0.23%Mo-0.02%Nb and condition (2)= 0.37/(0.02+0.23+0.02)=1.37 within the range of 1.2 to 3. In addition, steel example 8 has a C/ (Mo+Ti) = 1.48 within the range of 1.2 to 3 recited by claim 26.
- 14. Also similar to present invention, steel 3 contains 0.014% Ti, 0.5%Ni, 0.83%Cu and Ti/N=4.28 that meet or closely meet the compositional limitations recited by claims 31 to 33, and said steel is used to make welded pipe which meets recited claim 34.
- 15. Even though prior art does not teach complex carbides precipitated in the ferrite phase as recited by claim 8, such would be expected since composition and property limitations are closely met and in absence of proof to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00 am-2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/559,844 Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

/DY/